## Response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2614

PATENT APPLICATION Our Reference No.: 15814.16

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	:	Konstantin Othmer	)
Appl. No.	:	10/789,581	)
Filed	:	February 26, 2004	) Group Art Unit 261
Title	:	SELECTIVELY REPLAYING VOICE DATA DURING A VOICE COMMUNICATION SESSION	) ) )
Examiner	:	Simon P. Sing	)
Confirm. No.	:	3412	)
Customer No.	:	22,913	)

### RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION MAILED JUNE 2, 2009

# Mail Stop: AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Sir:

The Final Office Action mailed on June 2, 2009 allowed Claims 28, 29, 52 and 54-58, but rejected Claims 59-66. On July 29, 2009, Applicant filed a response that did not amend the claims, but presented arguments for the patentability of Claims 59-66. An Advisory Action mailed on August 18, 2009 rejected those arguments. Accordingly, in response to the Final Office Action mailed June 2, 2009, please amend the application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 5.